
RECOMMENDATION: The US Attorney General should direct the forensic science and forensic medicine service providers within the Department of Justice to adopt the National Code of Professional Responsibility for Forensic Science and Forensic Medicine Service Providers\(^1\), that the Code be annually reviewed and signed by all forensic science and forensic medicine service providers, and that steps be defined to address violations.

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The 2009 National Research Council of the National Academies report entitled *Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward* ("NAS Report") recommended a national code of ethics for all forensic science disciplines and encouraged professional forensic science societies to incorporate the national code into their own codes of professional responsibility and code of ethics. The NAS Report also recommended exploring mechanisms to enforce serious ethical violations.

In 2010, the Education, Ethics, and Terminology Inter-Agency Working Group (EETIWG) of the National Science and Technology Council's Subcommittee on Forensic Science developed a National Code of Ethics and Professional Responsibility for the Forensic Sciences (NCEPRFS). Further, the EETIWG recommended that all practitioners “who provide reports and expert opinion testimony with respect to forensic evidence in United States courts of law, adopt the NCEPRS.” Unfortunately, this recommendation was not acted upon and no NCEPRFS exists today.

BACKGROUND:
The EETIWG reviewed codes of ethics in use by forensic science organizations. While they noted the lack of a single code of ethics that covered all forensic disciplines, the working group identified four major categories addressed by every code of ethics they reviewed: 1) working within professional competence, 2) providing clear and objective testimony, 3) avoiding conflicts of interest, and 4) avoiding bias and influence, real or perceived.

\(^1\) A forensic science service provider is defined by the NCFS as any forensic science agency or forensic science practitioner providing forensic science services. A forensic medicine service provider is any forensic medicine agency or forensic medicine practitioner providing forensic medicine services.
The EETIWG found that the most broadly applicable code of ethics that would best serve as the NCEPRFS was the *ASCLD/LAB Guiding Principles of Professional Responsibility for Crime Laboratories and Forensic Scientists*. The working group found that the principles in this document were appropriate to the work conducted in the federal forensic laboratories, and ultimately proposed that the ASCLD/LAB document be adopted as the NCEPRFS. The Interim Solutions Subcommittee of the National Commission on Forensic Sciences utilized this code as its starting point for a National Code of Professional Responsibility (“Code”) for all forensic science and forensic medicine service providers. The subcommittee chose professional responsibility rather than ethics as the title because ethics is a much broader term referring to many issues beyond those directly associated with forensic science and forensic medicine service providers’ professional responsibilities.

Perhaps the key element lacking from the proposed NCEPRFS was the acknowledgement and address of serious violations of professional conduct, as recommended in the NAS Report. Oversight and enforcement is critical to compliance.

Most practitioners in forensic science and forensic medicine are committed, hard-working, ethical professionals; however, education and guidance on professional responsibility is uneven and there is no enforceable universal code of professional responsibility. In addition, when mistakes or breaches of ethical, legal, or scientific standards occur, there must be a process in place for reporting and remediation.

**THE CODE**

**The National Code of Professional Responsibility for Forensic Science and Forensic Medicine Service Providers**

The National Code of Professional Responsibility (“Code”) defines a framework for promoting integrity and respect for the scientific process among forensic science and forensic medicine service providers who must meet the requirements enumerated below:

1. Accurately represent relevant education, training, experience, and areas of expertise

2. Be honest and truthful in all affairs including not representing the work of others as one’s own.

3. Pursue professional competency through such activities as training, proficiency testing, certification, and presentation and publication of research findings

4. Commit to continuous learning and stay abreast of new findings, equipment, and techniques

5. Utilize scientifically valid methods and incorporate new technologies, while guarding against the use of unproven methods in casework and the misapplication of generally-accepted standards and procedures
6. Avoid tampering, adulteration, loss or **nonessential** consumption of evidentiary materials

7. Avoid participation in any case where there is a conflict of interest

8. Conduct examinations that are fair, unbiased and fit for purpose, striving for independent, impartial, and objective results

9. Make and retain contemporaneous, clear, complete and accurate written or electronic records of all examinations, tests, measurements, and conclusions, in sufficient detail to allow meaningful review and assessment by an independent person competent in the field

10. Base opinions and conclusions on generally-accepted procedures supported by sufficient data, free from influences and biases for or against any party

11. Do not render interpretations, opinions or conclusions that are outside one’s expertise

12. Prepare reports using clear and straightforward terminology, clearly distinguishing data from interpretations and opinions, and disclosing known limitations that are necessary to a fully-informed judgment of the significance of the findings

13. Do not alter reports or other records, or withhold information from reports for strategic or tactical advantage in litigation

14. Present accurate, balanced, and complete data in all reports, presentations and testimonies

15. Document and notify management or quality assurance personnel of all adverse mistakes and breaches of ethical, legal, and scientific standards

16. Once a report is issued, communicate fully with investigators, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and other experts, except when a legal privilege prevents disclosure

17. Appropriately inform (either directly or through proper management channels) all adverse or breaches of ethical, legal, and scientific standards that affect a previously issued report or testimony to all affected scientific and legal parties

**IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS**

The National Commission on Forensic Science recommends that the US Attorney General require all DOJ forensic science and forensic medicine service providers to adopt the Code. The Commission also recommends that management systems develop policies and procedures to enforce the standards embodied in this code. Policies and procedures should describe or define a system whereby individuals are protected when reporting suspicious, unscrupulous, unethical, or criminal actions without punitive concerns. The Code must be annually reviewed and signed by all DOJ forensic science and forensic medicine service providers. In addition, there must be an effective process to report and correct mistakes or breaches of ethical, legal, or scientific standards.

Further, the National Commission on Forensic Science recommends that the US Attorney General urge all forensic science and forensic medicine service providers, associated certification and accreditation bodies, and professional societies to adopt the Code, and for their management systems to develop
policies and procedures to enforce the standards embodied in this code. Policies and procedures should describe or define a system whereby individuals are protected when reporting suspicious, unscrupulous, unethical, or criminal actions without punitive concerns. The Code should be annually reviewed and signed by all forensic science and forensic medicine service providers. In addition, there must be an effective process to report and correct adverse mistakes or breaches of ethical, legal, or scientific standards.
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